

for some  $N$ . The only difficulty in the proof of these statements, beyond the methods already used in §§1-4, is the possibility that surfaces in  $\mathfrak{P}$  may have boundary values which are constant on some arcs of the circumference.

Statement (1) yields a modified Morse theory; the usual requirement is that every  $k$ -cap contain a minimal surface  $\mathfrak{z}$  for which  $D[\mathfrak{z}] = a$ . But by assigning type numbers to *blocs* of minimal surfaces, the usual Morse relations hold.

<sup>1</sup> [1] Shiffman, "The Plateau Problem for Non-Relative Minima," *Ann. Math.*, **40**, 834-854 (1940); [2] Morse and Tompkins, "The Existence of Minimal Surfaces of General Critical Type," *Ibid.*, **40**, 443-472 (1940); [3] Courant, "Critical Points and Unstable Minimal Surfaces," these PROCEEDINGS, **27**, 51-57 (1941); [4] Morse and Tompkins, "Minimal Surfaces Not of Minimum Type by a New Mode of Approximation," *Ann. Math.*, **42**, 62-72 (1941); and "The Continuity of the Area of Harmonic Surfaces as a Function of the Boundary Representations," *Am. Jour. Math.*, **63**, 825-838 (1941).

<sup>2</sup> Cf. [3].

<sup>3</sup> Cf. [4].

<sup>4</sup> See Radò, "On the Problem of Plateau," *Ergeb. Math.*, **2**, 45-47 (1933).

<sup>5</sup> The uniformity of the convergence may be eliminated since it is a consequence of  $L^*(1) \rightarrow L^\infty(1)$ .

<sup>6</sup> Cf. Shiffman, "The Plateau Problem for Minimal Surfaces Which Are Relative Minima," *Ann. Math.*, **39**, 311-312 (1938).

<sup>7</sup> This theorem is capable of very wide generalizations.

<sup>8</sup> In  $\mathfrak{P}$  this metric is equivalent to the uniform metric.

<sup>9</sup>  $\mathfrak{P}_a$  consists of those surfaces  $\mathfrak{z}$  of  $\mathfrak{P}$  for which  $D[\mathfrak{z}] \leq a$ .

## ON HOMOGENEOUS MEASURE ALGEBRAS

BY DOROTHY MAHARAM

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

Communicated January 26, 1942

1. The purpose of this note is to determine the structure of general measure algebras.

2. For any Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $M$ , let  $\overline{M}$  be the least cardinal number which is the power of a  $\sigma$ -basis of  $M$ .  $M$  is *homogeneous*, if  $\overline{L} = \overline{M}$  for every (principal) ideal  $L \subseteq M$  different from the null ideal. A Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $M = \{0 \leq a, b, c, \dots \leq e\}$  is a *measure algebra*, if there is defined on  $M$  a *measure function* (that is, a countably additive real non-negative function)  $\mu(a)$  such that (i)  $0 < \mu(e) < \infty$ , (ii)  $\mu(a) = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$ . We also assume that there is no atomic element in  $M$ , i.e., (iii)  $a > 0$  implies the existence of a  $b \in M$  such that  $0 < b < a$ .

An example of a homogeneous measure algebra is the Boolean algebra  $P(\gamma)$  of all measurable sets (mod. null sets) of an infinite product space  $\Omega_\gamma = P_{0 \leq \alpha < \gamma} I_\alpha$  of intervals  $I_\alpha$ :  $0 \leq x_\alpha \leq 1$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are ordinal numbers and the measure on  $\Omega_\gamma$  is defined multiplicatively in terms of the ordinary Lebesgue measure on each  $I_\alpha$ .

If  $\gamma < \gamma'$ , then  $P(\gamma)$  may be considered as a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $P(\gamma')$ . This embedding is obtained by identifying each subset  $E$  of  $\Omega_\gamma$  with the cylinder set  $E \times P_{\gamma \leq \alpha < \gamma'} I_\alpha$  in the product space  $\Omega_{\gamma'} = \Omega_\gamma \times P_{\gamma \leq \alpha < \gamma'} I_\alpha$ .

Two measure algebras are *isomorphic*, if there exists a measure-preserving  $\sigma$ -isomorphism between them. It is easy to see that, for any infinite ordinals  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ , two measure algebras  $P(\gamma)$  and  $P(\gamma')$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  correspond to the same cardinal. Moreover, if  $\gamma$  is finite or corresponds to  $\aleph_0$ , then  $P(\gamma)$  is isomorphic to  $P(1)$ , i.e., to the measure algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets (mod. null sets) of the interval  $I$ :  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Every homogeneous measure algebra with  $\mu(e) = 1$  is isomorphic to  $P(\gamma_0)$ , where  $\gamma_0$  is the least ordinal number corresponding to  $M$ .*

This theorem will be proved by transfinite induction. It will be sufficient to prove the following

**LEMMA 1:** *Let  $L$  be a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of a homogeneous measure algebra  $M$  with  $\mu(e) = 1$  such that  $\bar{L} < \bar{M}$ , and assume that  $L$  is isomorphic to  $P(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal corresponding to  $\bar{L}$ . Then, for any  $a \in M$  with  $a \notin L$ , there exists a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $L'$  of  $M$  such that  $L \subset L'$ ,  $a \in L'$ , and  $L'$  is isomorphic to  $P(\gamma + 1)$ , in such a way that this isomorphism is an extension of the given isomorphism of  $L$  and  $P(\gamma)$ .*

The proof of this lemma will be given in section 4.

3. Let us assume the conditions of Lemma 1. We can consider  $\Omega_\gamma$  as a representation space of  $L = P(\gamma)$ . Then, by a theorem of Radon-Nikodym, there exists, for any  $a \in M$ , a measurable function  $\varphi_a(\xi)$  defined on  $\Omega_\gamma$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi_a(\xi) \leq 1$ , and  $\int_{E_x} \varphi_a(\xi) d\xi = \mu(a \wedge x)$  for any  $x \in L$ , where  $E_x$  is a measurable set of  $\Omega_\gamma$  which corresponds to  $x \in L$ . An element  $a \in M$  is called *independent* of  $L$ , if we have  $\varphi_a(\xi) = \text{constant}$  [namely,  $= \mu(a)$ ] almost everywhere (a. e.) on  $\Omega_\gamma$ . This is equivalent to saying that we have  $\mu(a \wedge x) = \mu(a) \cdot \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ .

**LEMMA 2:** *For any measurable function  $\chi(\xi)$  defined on  $\Omega_\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \chi(\xi) \leq \varphi_a(\xi)$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma$ , there exists a  $b \in M$  such that  $0 \leq b \leq a$  and  $\varphi_b(\xi) = \chi(\xi)$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2.*—Our lemma is clear if  $\chi(\xi) = 0$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma$ . Hence we may assume that  $\text{meas}\{\xi: \chi(\xi) > 0\} > 0$ . Because of the principle of exhaustion, it is sufficient to show that there exists a  $b^* \in M$  such that  $0 < b^* \leq a$  and  $\varphi_{b^*}(\xi) \leq \chi(\xi)$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma$ .

Let  $A$  be the principal ideal generated by  $a$  and let  $L(a)$  be the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $M$  generated by  $L$  and  $a$ . Since  $\bar{L}(a) = \bar{L} < \bar{A} = \bar{M}$  by assumption,

there exists a  $b_1 \in M$  such that  $b_1 \in A$  and  $b_1 \bar{\in} L(a)$ . This means that  $\text{meas}[\xi: 0 < \varphi_{b_1}(\xi) < \varphi_a(\xi)] > 0$ . Again, by the principle of exhaustion, we can further find a  $b_2 \in M$  such that  $0 < b_2 < a$  and  $0 < \varphi_{b_2}(\xi) < \varphi_a(\xi)$  a. e. on the set  $[\xi: \varphi_a(\xi) > 0]$ . Let us denote by  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  the elements of  $L$  which correspond to the sets  $[\xi: 0 < \varphi_{b_2}(\xi) \leq 2^{-1}\varphi_a(\xi)]$  and  $[\xi: 2^{-1}\varphi_a(\xi) < \varphi_{b_2}(\xi) < \varphi_a(\xi)]$ . If we now put  $b_3 = (c_1 \wedge b_2) \vee (c_2 \wedge (a - b_2))$ , then  $0 < b_3 < a$  and we have  $0 < \varphi_{b_3}(\xi) \leq 2^{-1}\varphi_a(\xi)$  a. e. on the set  $[\xi: \varphi_a(\xi) > 0]$ . By iterating this argument, we can find, for each  $n$ , a  $b_{n+2} \in M$  such that  $0 < b_{n+2} < a$  and  $0 < \varphi_{b_{n+2}}(\xi) \leq 2^{-n}\varphi_a(\xi)$  a. e. on the set  $[\xi: \varphi_a(\xi) > 0]$ . Take  $n$  so large that  $\text{meas } E^* > 0$ , where  $E^* = [\xi: 2^{-n}\varphi_a(\xi) \leq \chi(\xi)]$ , and denote by  $c^*$  the element of  $L$  which corresponds to  $E^*$ . If we put  $b^* = c^* \wedge b_{n+2}$ , then we have  $0 < b^* < a$ , and  $\varphi_{b^*}(\xi) = \varphi_{b_{n+2}}(\xi) \leq 2^{-n}\varphi_a(\xi) \leq \chi(\xi)$  a. e. on  $E^*$ , and  $\varphi_{b^*}(\xi) = 0$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma - E^*$ . Hence  $\varphi_{b^*}(\xi) \leq \chi(\xi)$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma$  and this proves Lemma 2.

4. *Proof of Lemma 1.* Let  $\Delta_n$  be the set of all finite sequences  $\delta = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ , where  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; and let  $\Delta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n$ . A countable set  $\{a_\delta\}$  ( $\delta \in \Delta$ ) is a *dyadic decomposition* of  $a$  if  $a_0 \vee a_1 = a$ ,  $a_0 \wedge a_1 = 0$ , and  $a_{\delta,0} \vee a_{\delta,1} = a_\delta$ ,  $a_{\delta,0} \wedge a_{\delta,1} = 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ . By Lemma 2, there exists for any  $a \in M$ , a dyadic decomposition  $\{a_\delta\}$  ( $\delta \in \Delta$ ) of  $a$  such that  $\varphi_{a_\delta}(\xi) = \min.(\varphi_a(\xi), \epsilon_1/2 + \dots + \epsilon_n/2^n + 1/2^n) - \min.(\varphi_a(\xi), \epsilon_1/2 + \dots + \epsilon_n/2^n)$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\gamma$  for all  $\delta = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\} \in \Delta$ . In the same way, there exists a dyadic decomposition  $\{a'_\delta\}$  ( $\delta \in \Delta$ ) of  $a' = e - a$  such that  $\varphi_{a'_\delta}(\xi) = \max.(\varphi_a(\xi), \epsilon_1/2 + \dots + \epsilon_n/2^n + 1/2^n) - \max.(\varphi_a(\xi), \epsilon_1/2 + \dots + \epsilon_n/2^n)$  a. e. on  $\Omega_\delta$  for all  $\delta = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\} \in \Delta$ . Let us put  $b_\delta = a_\delta \vee a'_\delta$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Then  $\{b_\delta\}$  ( $\delta \in \Delta$ ) is a dyadic decomposition of the unit element  $e$ , and each  $b_\delta$  is independent of  $L$ , since we have clearly  $\varphi_{b_\delta}(\xi) = \varphi_{a_\delta}(\xi) + \varphi_{a'_\delta}(\xi) \equiv 1/2^n$  a. e. on  $\Omega$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Now let  $L'$  be the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $M$  generated by  $L$  and  $\{b_\delta\}$  ( $\delta \in \Delta$ ).  $L'$  is obtained by completing the finite algebra  $L^*$  consisting of all elements of  $M$  of the form:  $b^{(n)} = \bigvee_{\delta \in \Delta_n} (b_\delta \wedge c_\delta)$ , where  $c_\delta \in L$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is easy to see that  $L'$  is isomorphic to  $P(\gamma + 1)$  by an isomorphism which is an extension of the given isomorphism of  $L$  and  $P(\gamma)$ .

Finally, in order to prove that  $a \in L'$ , consider the set  $[\xi: \varphi_a(\xi) \geq \epsilon_1/2 + \dots + \epsilon_n/2^n + 1/2^n]$  for each  $\delta = \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\} \in \Delta$ , and let  $c_\delta$  be the corresponding element of  $L$ . If we put  $b^{(n)} = \bigvee_{\delta \in \Delta_n} (b_\delta \wedge c_\delta)$ , then we have  $b^{(1)} \leq b^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq b^{(n)} \leq \dots \leq a$  and  $\mu(a - b^{(n)}) \leq 1/2^n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence we have  $a = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} b^{(n)}$  and consequently  $a \in L'$ . This proves Lemma 1 and so Theorem 1.

5. A measure algebra  $M$  is a *direct sum* of (a finite or countably infinite number of) measure algebras  $M_n$ , if each  $M_n$  is (isomorphic to) a principal ideal of  $M$  and if every element  $a \in M$  is uniquely expressed in the form:

$a = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ ,  $a_m \wedge a_n = 0$  ( $m \neq n$ ), where  $a_n \in M_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . (This last condition is equivalent to saying that the unit elements  $e_n$  of  $M_n$ , which are elements of  $M$ , satisfy  $e_m \wedge e_n = 0$  ( $m \neq n$ ) and  $e = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n$ .)

**THEOREM 2.** *Every measure algebra is a direct sum of homogeneous measure algebras  $M_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , finite or countably infinite).*

The proof of Theorem 2 is easy and will be omitted.

Theorems 1 and 2 indicate the structure of a general measure algebra.

6. The ergodicity of a measure preserving  $\sigma$ -automorphism  $T$  of a measure algebra  $M$  (onto itself), or that of a group  $G = \{T\}$  of such  $\sigma$ -automorphisms, can be defined as usual.

**LEMMA 3.** *In order that the group of all measure preserving  $\sigma$ -automorphisms of a measure algebra  $M$  be ergodic on  $M$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $M$  be homogeneous.*

The proof of this lemma is easy and is omitted.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $M$  be a measure algebra, and let  $G$  be the group of all measure preserving  $\sigma$ -automorphisms of  $M$ . Then  $M$  is a direct sum of a countable number of invariant principal ideals  $M_n$ , on each of which  $G$  is ergodic. This decomposition is the same as in Theorem 2.*

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group of measure preserving  $\sigma$ -automorphisms of  $M$ . Then the set  $L_G$  of all  $a \in M$  such that  $T(a) = a$  for all  $T \in G$ , is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $M$ . Conversely, for any  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $L \subseteq M$ , consider the set  $G_L$  of all measure preserving  $\sigma$ -automorphisms  $T$  of  $M$  such that  $T(a) = a$  for all  $a \in L$ .  $G_L$  is clearly a group. It is also clear that  $L \subseteq L_{G_L}$  and  $G \subseteq G_{L_G}$ . Exactly as in the theory of Galois, we may ask the question: Under what conditions do the equalities  $L = L_{G_L}$  and  $G = G_{L_G}$  hold? These and related problems will be discussed elsewhere.

I am greatly indebted to Shizuo Kakutani, who revised and improved my original manuscript.